

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/620,156	ROBERTSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL J. SIMITOSKI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2439	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(1) MICHAEL J. SIMITOSKI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael P. Dunnam.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2009**Time:** 1 p.m.**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***103(a) on claims 3, 22***Claims discussed:**

3, 22

**Prior art documents discussed:****Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicant to clarify the claims according to the specification and to discuss some of the points made in the previous interview, particularly with respect to the definition of "connection" in the claims being allowable over the art of record when clarified. The interview resulted in the attached Examiner's amendment.